## Life Insurance Corporation of India Central Office, Mumbai



## Response to pre-bid queries-1 dated 07th May 2024

Life Insurance Corporation of India – RFP/Tender for onboarding System Integrator (SI) to Supply, Install, Implement and Maintain Governance, Risk & Compliance (GRC) Solution, CO-ERM-IT-CSD-2023-2024/GRC dated 16<sup>th</sup> April 2024

This is with reference to the RFP released by the Life Insurance Corporation of India captioned above.

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                | Sub-<br>Section | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query   | LIC Response                |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| 1         | 6.<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | -               | 13       | -  | We would like to participate in this RFP under make in India Scheme, however we do not see the MEITY guidelines in this RFP. We request you to kindly add Make in India clause in the RFP. | Kindly be guided by the RFP |
| 2         | 6.<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | 1               | 13       | 1. The Bidder should be a registered legal entity in India.      | Request you to amend the clause to allow participation of any Global Company registered in the respective parent country having it's subsidiary business unit in India.                    | Kindly be guided by the RFP |
| 3         | 6.<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | 2               | 13       | 2. The Bidder should hold a valid GST registration and PAN Card. | The Bidder / subsidiary unit of Global company should hold a valid GST registration and PAN Card.  | Kindly be guided by the RFP |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                | Sub-<br>Section | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query  | LIC Response                 |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------|--|---|------------------------------|
| 4         | 6.<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | 4               | 13       | 4. The Bidder should have an average annual turnover of Rs 10 crores in previous three financial years (2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23).   | The Bidder / subsidiary or its Global parent company should have an average annual turnover of Rs 10 crores in previous three financial years (2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23).   | Please adhere to RFP clause. |
| 5         | 6.<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | 5               | 13       | 5. The Bidder should have a positive net worth in previous three financial years (2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23).   | he Bidder / subsidiary or its Global parent company should have a positive net worth in previous three financial years (2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23).  | Please adhere to RFP clause. |
| 6         | 6.<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | 7               | 14       | 7. The Bidder should have minimum of 3 years of experience in implementing any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at organizations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector.   | The Bidder / subsidiary or its Global parent company should have minimum of 3 years of experience in implementing any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at organizations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector /Any Corporate globally.   | Please adhere to RFP clause. |
| 7         | 6.<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | 8               | 14       | 8. The Bidder during the last 5 years from the date of this RFP should have supplied/ implemented / supported deployment of any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at minimum 3 organisations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector. Out of which at least one should be in Life insurance company. | 8. The Bidder/subsidiary or its Global parent company during the last 5 years from the date of this RFP should have supplied/ implemented / supported deployment of any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at minimum 3 organisations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector /Any Corporate globally. | Please adhere to RFP clause. |
| 8         | 6.<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | 9               | 14       | 9. Bidder should have at least 5 personnel on their payroll who have relevant experience in designing and setting up of GRC solution.  Proposed Project Manager for LIC's  | Bidder /subsidiary or its Global parent company should have at least 5 personnel on their payroll who have relevant experience in designing and setting up of GRC solution.  Proposed Project Manager for LIC's GRC project should have experience in designing   | Please adhere to RFP clause. |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section  | Sub-<br>Section   | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query   | LIC Response  |
|-----------|---|---|----------|--|--|---|
|           |   |   |          | GRC project should have experience in designing and setting up of any of the modules as mentioned in the RFP in at least one BFSI sector organisation in India.                                | and setting up of any of the modules as mentioned in the RFP in at least one BFSI sector organisation in India / Globally.   |   |
| 9         | Section A:<br>Introducti<br>on                                | 3. Activity Schedule - Bid Processing Fee (Non- Refundable) | 10       | 3. Activity Schedule - Bid<br>Processing Fee (Non-Refundable)  | As part of bid processing fee, the sum of INR 11,800 was transferred to Name of Bank: Union Bank of India Address: Unit 4C, Mittal Court Premise, Nariman Point Mumbai Name of Beneficiary: Life Insurance Corporation of India, Central Office Bank Account Number: 510101006085031 IFSC Code: UBIN0902217 as bid processing fee against RFP released in December 2023 (CO-ERM-IT-CSD-2023- 2024/GRC dated 14 December 2023). We want to understand if bid processing fee needs to be paid again. | Yes, Bid processing fees needs to be paid again. Payment made for any earlier RFP is non-refundable  Refer Section H, Annexure S: If the tenders are cancelled or recalled on any grounds, the tender document fees will not be refunded to the agency. |
| 10        | Section A:<br>Introducti<br>on                                | 3. Activity Schedule - Earnest Money deposit (EMD)          | 10       | 3. Activity Schedule - Earnest<br>Money deposit (EMD)  | As part of RFP - EMD of INR 20,00,000 (Rupees Twenty Lakhs Only) needs to be deposited We want to understand if EMD (RFP released in December 2023 (CO-ERM-IT-CSD-2023-2024/GRC dated 14 December 2023) can be repurposed.   | Any earlier document reference will not be considered   |
| 11        | Section B:<br>Invitation<br>for<br>Request<br>for<br>Proposal | 5. Overview<br>of RFP                                       | 12       | Kindly note that the GRC solution should have the capabilities to make any future additions i.e. ESG or any other GRC related modules (which are not covered as part of current scope of work) | What other GRC modules does LIC envision as part of future GRC Automation program apart from ESG?  | LIC is looking for a comprehensive GRC solution which can meet not only its present but also future requirements as well.  While the details of future cannot be shared presently,  |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section  | Sub-<br>Section   | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query   | LIC Response  |
|-----------|---|---|----------|---|--|---|
|           |   |   |          |   |  | however LIC will look at GRC solution which has various necessary modules   |
| 12        | Section B:<br>Invitation<br>for<br>Request<br>for<br>Proposal | 5. Overview<br>of RFP -<br>A. Phase 1 –<br>Current State<br>Assessment: | 13       | Perform current state assessment. Prepare Gap analysis report including recommendations addressing concerns around completeness and accuracy for each of the in-scope modules and incorporate recommendations in the underlying data to be uploaded in the tool.  | While SI can provide recommendation on improving data quality to facilitate data import in GRC system. LIC will be responsible to update the data.  We request you to confirm as part of GRC system implementation - LIC will be taking responsibility of updating the data. | Providing data in stagging environment will be LIC responsibility, post which consuming the same in GRC will be the sole responsibility of the bidder |
| 13        | Section B:<br>Invitation<br>for<br>Request<br>for<br>Proposal | 5. Overview<br>of RFP -<br>A. Phase 1 –<br>Current State<br>Assessment: | 13       | Perform current state assessment. Prepare Gap analysis report including recommendations addressing concerns around completeness and accuracy for each of the in-scope modules and incorporate recommendations in the underlying data to be uploaded in the tool.  | Please provide details of age and quantum of legacy data to be uploaded. Does LIC currently use a technology platform for GRC processes?   | There will be no legacy data migration of the function The data upload in discussion here is Risk register, checkpoints, templates, etc               |
| 14        | Section B:<br>Invitation<br>for<br>Request<br>for<br>Proposal | 5. Overview<br>of RFP - 6.<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria                   | 14       | 8 The Bidder during the last 5 years from the date of this RFP should have supplied/ implemented / supported deployment of any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at minimum 3 organizations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector. Out of which at least one should be in Life insurance company. | We request you clarify if the experience should be for same tool/platform which bidder is planning to propose for this bid or experience for any other tool or platform can be considered as well.   | Please be guided by the RFP  Supplied/ implemented / supported deployment of any of the modules as mentioned in RFP.                                  |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section  | Sub-<br>Section             | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query  | LIC Response  |
|-----------|---|-----------------------------|----------|--|---|---|
| 15        | Section B:<br>Invitation<br>for<br>Request<br>for<br>Proposal | 7. General<br>Instructions  | 15       | Pre-Contract Integrity Pact (IP): This RFP is issued on the condition that only those bidders who submit a signed Pre-Contract Integrity Pact with LIC, on a stamp paper of Rs. 500, would be eligible to participate in bidding. "Integrity Pact" format is given in Annexure N.  | We request you to clarify if integrity pact for RFP released in December 2023 (CO-ERM-IT-CSD-2023-2024/GRC dated 14 December 2023) can be submitted   | Please be guided by the RFP   |
| 16        | Section C:<br>Instructio<br>ns to<br>Bidders<br>(ITB)         | 2.<br>Submission<br>of Bids | 19       | xviii. The bid will be treated as legally void and will be rejected if: 1) Bid is not signed by the duly authorized person or 2) Bid submitted is unsigned or partially unsigned 3) An image of signature found pasted on pages instead of wet signature or 4) Scanned bid is submitted. 5) Bids are not submitted in respective envelopes as stipulated above | Should physical copies (print out of soft copy submitted as part of procedure described in Annexure S.) be submitted as hard copies? In such case should physical copies be physically signed or should contain digital signature | Please be guided by the RFP.  Wet signature / Physically signed copies as stated in the RFP.    |
| 17        | Section C:<br>Instructio<br>ns to<br>Bidders<br>(ITB)         | 8. Password<br>Protection   | 22       | The soft copies of the item specifications (eligibility, technical and commercial) should be submitted in soft copy format by all participating Bidders. The specifications in the spreadsheets will be password protected. The bids are to be submitted in the format (soft copy) as per the Annexures in this RFP. The                                       | Digitally signed copies cannot be password protected. Kindly confirm  | Please be guided by the RFP  The specifications in the spreadsheets will be password protected. |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                     | Sub-<br>Section  | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query  | LIC Response  |
|-----------|------------------------------------|--|----------|---|---|---|
|           |                                    |  |          | password used will be validated by LIC for checking the authenticity. It may also be informed that the password will be checked at the time of opening of the eligibility, technical and commercial bid in the presence of the bidders. In case the bids are submitted to LIC without the password protection or with password that does not match with the password used by LIC, the BID |   |   |
| 18        | Section E:<br>Scope of<br>Services | 1. Brief<br>Scope of<br>Work                                   | 45       | MAY BE REJECTED.  | Can the solution be delivered in a hybrid module?   | Module will be discussed with the successful bidder   |
| 19        | Section E:<br>Scope of<br>Services | 1. Brief<br>Scope of<br>Work                                   | 45       | LIC has an existing ERM tool. It would be desirable if the GRC solution could be integrated to produce combined dashboard to have a single point of view.   | LIC has an existing ERM tool. It would be desirable if the GRC solution could be integrated to produce combined dashboard to have a single point of view. | Please adhere to RFP clause.  Refer to Section E: Scope of Services, sub section: Brief scope of work LIC has an existing ERM tool. It would be desirable if the GRC solution could be integrated to produce combined dashboard to have a single point of view. |
| 20        | Section E:<br>Scope of<br>Services | 2. Detailed Scope of Work - Phase 1 - Current state assessment | 45       | 1. Phase 1 - Current state assessment Conduct a kick-off meeting with relevant stakeholders from LIC. Vendor shall conduct thorough study of LIC's current business   | Are there any frameworks, methodologies that LIC follows for its GRC processes?   | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC.   |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section | Sub-<br>Section                      | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query                               | LIC Response  |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------|---|--|---|
| NO.       | Section        | Section                              | NO       | processes, procedures and risk registers for each of the in-scope modules.  · Vendor to assist LIC in identifying gaps to the current process based on the global standards, regulatory guidelines, and best practices to fulfil the requirements and ensuring exhaustive coverage and compliance. All such recommendation shall be backed-up with necessary supporting documents and references.  · Vendor shall prepare a detailed gap assessment report including recommendations addressing concerns around completeness and accuracy of the underlying data to be uploaded for each of the inscope modules.  · Vendor to take sign-off from relevant LIC stakeholders on the gap assessment report and incorporate recommendations in the underlying data to be uploaded |  |   |
|           |                |                                      |          | in the tool.  |  |   |
| 21        | Section E:     | 2. Detailed                          | 45       | 1. Phase 1 - Current state  | What are the regulatory                    | GRC solution under discussion   |
|           | Scope of       | Scope of                             |          | assessment  | documents/standards/frameworks based on    | should have industry best   |
|           | Services       | Work - Phase<br>1 - Current<br>state |          | <ul> <li>Conduct a kick-off meeting with<br/>relevant stakeholders from LIC.</li> <li>Vendor shall conduct thorough</li> </ul>  | which the Gap assessment is to be covered? | practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
|           |                | assessment                           |          | study of LIC's current business   |  |   |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                     | Sub-<br>Section   | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query   | LIC Response   |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---|----------|--|--|--|
|           |                                    |   |          | processes, procedures and risk registers for each of the in-scope modules.  · Vendor to assist LIC in identifying gaps to the current process based on the global standards, regulatory guidelines, and best practices to fulfil the requirements and ensuring exhaustive coverage and compliance. All such recommendation shall be backed-up with necessary supporting documents and references.  · Vendor shall prepare a detailed gap assessment report including recommendations addressing concerns around completeness and accuracy of the underlying data to be uploaded for each of the inscope modules.  · Vendor to take sign-off from relevant LIC stakeholders on the gap assessment report and incorporate recommendations in the underlying data to be uploaded in the tool. |  |  |
| 22        | Section E:<br>Scope of<br>Services | 2. Detailed<br>Scope of<br>Work - Phase<br>3 -<br>Implementat<br>ion planning | 45       | Vendor shall budget for 10 integrations with the GRC Platform of all the applications and services that require Integration.   | We request you to provide details of data sources to be integrated with GRC platform | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                     | Sub-<br>Section   | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query   | LIC Response  |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---|----------|---|--|---|
| 23        | Section E:<br>Scope of<br>Services | 2. Detailed Scope of Work Phase 6 - Training - and knowledge transfer | 46       | Structure of the training program covering number of trainings, locations and number of participants etc. is to be advised by the vendor in the training schedule and approved by LIC.    | We request you to confirm if training sessions needed to be conducted from Mumbai only   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder  |
| 24        | Section E:<br>Scope of<br>Services | 3. Sizing<br>Requirement<br>s   | 48       |   | We request you differentiate between Core user vs Business User  | Core Users - Respective department users for each module (e.g. Audit team members for Audit module)  Business User - Users from other departments for each module (e.g. Users from other departments supporting the audit). They are essentially respondent to the observations / compliance raised and not as frequent users as core users |
| 25        | Section E:<br>Scope of<br>Services | 3. Sizing<br>Requirement<br>s   | 48       | Approximate number of users including core and business users will be 2000 as mentioned in the below table: IT GRC, Audit and compliance Core user - 500 users Business users - 850 Users | Are these unique users for is there any overlap between these set of users. If there is any overall, can you confirm the unique users for core and business for all 3 functions (IT GRC, Audit and compliance) | Please be guided by the RFP  Should be considered as unique users for computation of numbers as provided in the RFP   |
| 26        | Section E:<br>Scope of<br>Services | 5. Project<br>Completion<br>&Timelines                                | 49       | Phase 1 – Current State<br>Assessment   | We request you to reconsider timeline of 8 weeks for current state assessment as 8 weeks will less based on modules in scope of the RFP  | Please be guided by the RFP   |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                                     | Sub-<br>Section                             | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query  | LIC Response  |
|-----------|--|---|----------|---|---|---|
| 27        | Section E:<br>Scope of<br>Services                 | 5. Project<br>Completion<br>&Timelines      | 49       | Phase 4 –Implementation and User acceptance testing  Timelines - T+ 42 weeks  | Please clarify expected timeline for completion of all phases from Phase 1 (Current state assessment) to Phase 6 (Training and knowledge transfer)  | Please be guided by the RFP  The vendor shall complete the entire activity within 52 weeks of signing of contract.  |
| 28        | Section E:<br>Scope of<br>Services                 | 6. Service<br>Level<br>Agreements<br>(SLAs) | 49       | The expected turnaround time for resolving operational issues should be 3 working days.   | While SI can ensure resolution of platform related operational issues within in 3 working days. Resolution of operation issues caused due IT infrastructure and network will be responsibility of LIC. We request you to confirm IT infrastructure and network related issues will be resolved by LIC | IT and Network related issues will be LIC's responsibilities, however as part of RFP the bidder has to be provide for L1 and L2 resource on-prem.  They will be responsible to isolate the problem basis which LIC will take the ownership. |
| 29        | Section E:<br>Scope of<br>Services                 | 7. Software<br>Maintenance                  | 50       | The Vendor shall provide unscheduled, on call, corrective and remedial maintenance and support services.  | What kind of support LIC is looking for in terms of coverage example 12X5 hours working week? LIC requests 48 hours of support. Kindly confirm if its 5 or 6 days   | Please be guided by the RFP  Business hours as defined in the RFP   |
| 30        | Section F:<br>General<br>Terms &<br>Condition<br>s | 3.<br>Installation<br>of<br>Equipment       | 52       | -   | Where is the DC and DR site for LIC?  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder  |
| 31        | Section F:<br>General<br>Terms &<br>Condition<br>s | 3.<br>Installation<br>of<br>Equipment       | 52       | It is advised that, the vendor should carry out the pre-installation survey of all sites and satisfy themselves that the sites are meeting all requirements i.e., adequate Space, UPS/Power, Earthing, Air Conditioning etc. No additional charges will be payable by LIC for such survey. If this survey | We assume that LIC will provide the necessary Hardware/Infra required for the GRC solution based on the sizing for DEV/UAT, DC and DR servers. Please confirm   | Please be guided by the RFP  LIC will provide the standardized hardware / software / operating system / databases in order to support the in-scope solutions implementation. The bidder shall provide a                                     |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                                     | Sub-<br>Section                       | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query  | LIC Response   |
|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|----------|--|---|--|
|           |  |                                       |          | is not done, LIC will not be responsible for any related issues that may arise at the time of installation. The vendor shall do the actual plugging-in, configuration and testing of all equipment / components during installation. The equipment/component should be tested for physical and software configuration as per Life Insurance Corporation of India's requirement, error resolutions (if any), testing of redundancy (wherever provided) configuration as well as the end-to-end connectivity on Life Insurance Corporation of India's network. The equipment has to be installed in racks wherever provided. |   | detailed bill of quantity (BOQ) as part of their submission (Annexure R).  |
| 32        | Section F:<br>General<br>Terms &<br>Condition<br>s | 3.<br>Installation<br>of<br>Equipment | 52       | It is advised that, the vendor should carry out the pre-installation survey of all sites and satisfy themselves that the sites are meeting all requirements i.e., adequate Space, UPS/Power, Earthing, Air Conditioning etc. No additional charges will be payable by LIC for such survey. If this survey is not done, LIC will not be responsible for any related issues that may arise at the time of installation. The vendor shall do  | We assume that LIC will provide the necessary Domain Names, Load Balancers, Security certificates required for the GRC solution on UAT, DC and DR instances | Please be guided by the RFP  LIC will provide the standardized hardware / software / operating system / databases to support the inscope solutions implementation.  The bidder shall provide a detailed bill of quantity (BOQ) as part of their submission (Annexure R). |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                                     | Sub-<br>Section                       | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query  | LIC Response   |
|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|----------|--|---|--|
| 33        | Section F:<br>General<br>Terms &<br>Condition<br>s | 3.<br>Installation<br>of<br>Equipment | 52       | the actual plugging-in, configuration and testing of all equipment / components during installation. The equipment/component should be tested for physical and software configuration as per Life Insurance Corporation of India's requirement, error resolutions (if any), testing of redundancy (wherever provided) configuration as well as the end-to-end connectivity on Life Insurance Corporation of India's network. The equipment has to be installed in racks wherever provided.  It is advised that, the vendor should carry out the pre-installation survey of all sites and satisfy themselves that the sites are meeting all requirements i.e., adequate Space, UPS/Power, Earthing, Air Conditioning etc. No additional charges will be payable by LIC for such survey. If this survey is not done, LIC will not be responsible for any related issues that may arise at the time of installation. The vendor shall do the actual plugging-in, configuration and testing of all equipment / components during installation. The | We assume that LIC will provide the necessary pre-requisite software's for DB(Oracle, SQL, etc.) and application servers (IIS, Dot Net, etc.) | Please be guided by the RFP  LIC will provide the standardized hardware / software / operating system / databases in order to support the in-scope solutions implementation.  The bidder shall provide a detailed bill of quantity (BOQ) as part of their submission (Annexure R). |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section  | Sub-<br>Section | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query  | LIC Response   |
|-----------|---|-----------------|----------|---|---|--|
|           |   |                 |          | equipment/component should be tested for physical and software configuration as per Life Insurance Corporation of India's requirement, error resolutions (if any), testing of redundancy (wherever provided) configuration as well as the end-to-end connectivity on Life Insurance Corporation of India's network. The equipment has to be installed in racks wherever provided. |   |  |
| 34        | Section<br>G:<br>Payment<br>Terms &<br>Condition<br>s | Licenses        | 57       | I. GRC Platform: 100% on installation in UAT and sharing of license certificate with End-User License Agreement (EULA) licenses II. GRC Modules:  · 70% upon installation in UAT  · 20% upon UAT sign-off  · 10% upon Go-Live   | We request you to update clause for upfront payment of GRC module licenses as these are standard terms and conditions of OEMs providing GRC solutions | Please be guided by the RFP  |
| 35        | Section G: Payment terms and Condition s              | -               | 57       | 3) Payments will be made as per below table, subject to bidder completing in-scope activities for the agreed project plan. LIC reserves the right to temporarily withhold payment and impose penalty, if it is not satisfied with progress made during that period or if there is delay in activity timelines.  | The modules will be implemented in phase wise. So will each module i.e., TPRM/Audit/Compliance have multiple payment milestones?                      | Please be guided by the RFP  Refer Section G: Payment terms and Conditions |
| 36        | Section<br>G:<br>Payment                              | -               | 58       | 11 - b)The offer must include comprehensive on-site warranty for five years from the date of  | Support Services are for a period of 5 years. So, the contract is valid for 10 months of Implementation and 5 years of Support?                       | Please be guided by the RFP  Vendor should provide post                    |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                           | Sub-<br>Section                   | Pg<br>No        | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query  | LIC Response   |
|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---|---|--|
|           | terms<br>and<br>Condition<br>s           |                                   |                 | installation and acceptance of the systems by LIC. The warranty will include supply and installation of all updates and subsequent releases of security solutions.  |   | implementation support to LIC's post system go-live stage till end of contract |
| 37        | Section G: Payment terms and Condition s | -                                 | 58              | 11 - b)The offer must include comprehensive on-site warranty for five years from the date of installation and acceptance of the systems by LIC. The warranty will include supply and installation of all updates and subsequent releases of security solutions.   | While the vendor will be responsible for updating the subsequent releases of GRC tool, we assume that LIC will share the server/security/Microsoft etc. patches to be updated on the servers and for other relevant software that is a prerequisite for LIC | Please be guided by the RFP  |
| 38        | Section G: Payment terms and Condition s | -                                 | 59              | h) Complaint(s) will be deemed to be resolved if the following record is available with the Corporation: i) Customer Call Report (CCR) signed by both the service Personnel and Corporation's authorized official, confirming that the complaint is resolved. ii) Date and time of resolution of the complaint shall be indicated clearly. iii) Record of down time for hardware will be maintained by LIC and will be binding on the Vendor. | Record of down time for hardware will be maintained by LIC and will be binding on the Vendor - We request you to please share the SLA's.  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder                     |
| 39        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions   | Third-Party<br>Risk<br>Management | Sr<br>No<br>-16 | Does the solution manage third party due diligence?   | What are the various aspects under which a third party needs to be evaluated as part of due diligence process   | Please be guided by the RFP  |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                         | Sub-<br>Section                   | Pg<br>No        | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query  | LIC Response  |
|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---|---|---|
| 40        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Third-Party<br>Risk<br>Management | Sr<br>No<br>-17 | Does the solution include due diligence of both potential and current third parties to provide insight into their background and integrity?   | As part of background and integrity check process does LIC want functionality to document BGC details of vendors  | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 41        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Third-Party<br>Risk<br>Management | Sr<br>No<br>-19 | Does the solution help manage varied due diligence assessments or process and the processes followed by different business units or product/service Categories?   | What are type of due diligence processes currently present? Does LIC have the due diligence process, assessments and checklist - standardized and formally documented | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 42        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Third-Party<br>Risk<br>Management | Sr<br>No<br>-20 | Does the solution help qualify third parties?   | What is intended functionality and outcome LIC wants from this requirement?   | Please be guided by the RFP   |
| 43        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Third-Party<br>Risk<br>Management | Sr<br>No<br>-21 | Can a solution maintain questions for multiple third-party assessments?   | Please provide indicative number of Third-<br>Party Assessments Required  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder  |
| 44        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Third-Party<br>Risk<br>Management | Sr<br>No<br>-42 | Does the solution have the capability to consolidate the assessments scores from Self Assessments, Internal Surveys and External Content to determine the engagement risk rating and overall third-party risk rating? | As part of automation - Integration with external data sources needs to be done with TPRM module? If Yes, What all sources?   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder  |
| 45        | Annexure<br>F -<br>Technical           | Third-Party<br>Risk<br>Management | Sr<br>No<br>-44 | Can the solution allow to inspect individual cases closer in form of a manual evaluation?   | Please clarify what does manual evaluation comprises of and trigger criteria for these evaluations  | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling   |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                         | Sub-<br>Section                    | Pg<br>No             | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query   | LIC Response  |
|-----------|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---|--|---|
|           | Specificat ions                        |                                    |                      |   |  | seamless experience for the users of LIC.   |
| 46        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | -                                  | -                    | -   | Is LIC looking for integration to Mobile Interface   | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 47        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | -                                  | -                    | -   | Is LIC looking for a collaboration feature like automated chat assistant to be an integral feature of the GRC platform   | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 48        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | -                                  | -                    | -   | If you can share an approximate number of metrics (KRI/KCI/KPI) that are monitored for reporting to regulators. Is the monitoring done in an automated/ real-time fashion? | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 49        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Information<br>Technology –<br>GRC | Sr<br>No<br>-<br>181 | Does the system supports custom risk assessment methodologies and algorithms?   | Please specify the current risk assessments and methodologies that are followed by LIC   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder  |
| 50        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Information<br>Technology –<br>GRC | Sr<br>No<br>-<br>186 | Whether it has the ability to reflect<br>the current risk assessment<br>process conducted for the IT Assets<br>(applications, devices, etc.?) | How is LIC maintaining a list of IT Assets (Infra, servers, applications)  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder  |
| 51        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Information<br>Technology –<br>GRC | Sr<br>No<br>-<br>186 | Whether it has the ability to reflect<br>the current risk assessment<br>process conducted for the IT Assets<br>(applications, devices, etc.?) | What is the scope of IT assets that are risk assessed? E.g. Servers, Applications, Firewalls, network devices etc.   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder  |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                         | Sub-<br>Section                    | Pg<br>No             | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query   | LIC Response   |
|-----------|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| 52        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Information<br>Technology –<br>GRC | Sr<br>No<br>-<br>192 | Does the solution provides a centralized system to catalogue IT assets for incident prioritization and provide business context for prioritization of events | Does LIC have a SIEM/SOAR tool that monitors IT assets and log incidents? If yes, can you please share the SIEM and SOAR tools that are currently used?  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 53        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Information<br>Technology –<br>GRC | Sr<br>No<br>-<br>196 | 96-Whether it has the centralized catalogue of IT assets and repository and taxonomy for vulnerability data?   | What is the Vulnerability scanner used at LIC?   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 54        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Information<br>Technology –<br>GRC | Sr<br>No<br>-<br>202 | Does the solution has the capability to move from one tool to another, to allow that migration will full backup of data along with proper data integrity?    | What is the data size that LIC is looking to migrate? Can you share an approximate count of Applications IT Assets Risks Risk Assessments Controls Policies Third parties Third Party Risk Assessments Third part contracts Vulnerabilities Security Incidents | No data migration is expected as part of this RFP          |
| 55        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Information<br>Technology –<br>GRC | Sr<br>No<br>-<br>193 | Whether automated reminder will be issued to the owner if issue becomes due?   | What reminder is required in terms of automation, has the issue been identified or if the SLA not met. Kindly clarify and elaborate  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 56        | Annexure<br>F -<br>Technical           | Information<br>Technology –<br>GRC | Sr<br>No             | Whether the system centralizes security incident management with integrated business context?  | What integrated business context centralization are we referring to. Please elaborate or do you mean: The solution must  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                         | Sub-<br>Section                             | Pg<br>No             | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query  | LIC Response  |
|-----------|--|---|----------------------|--|---|---|
|           | Specificat ions                        |   | -<br>194             |  | provide out-of-box, best-practice, customizable response flows with integrations to best-of-breed security products. Please share the list of security solutions used in LIC  |   |
| 57        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Information<br>Technology –<br>GRC          | Sr<br>No<br>-<br>197 | Whether the system can map incidents to security controls and provide a view of how effective security controls are in capturing security incidents?   | What security controls are we indicating too or do you mean: The solution must provide security analyst with visibility into the asset states and changes to IT assets (CMDB), change records, reported incidents, and open problems, and the ability to correlate and investigate operational issues with security incidents | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder  |
| 58        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Information<br>Technology –<br>GRC          | Sr<br>No<br>-<br>199 | Does the system should support<br>ability to research known<br>vulnerabilities helps prioritize<br>efforts for IT operations   | What level of prioritization are you looking for, are you looking for risk score, asset criticality score, business impact score or a correlated score of all three. Please clarify   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder  |
| 59        | Annexure F - Technical Specificat ions | Information<br>Technology –<br>GRC          | Sr<br>No<br>-<br>201 | Whether the system provide an end-to-end process to address vulnerabilities from detection to remediation according to business risk   | Please elaborate in what you mean by end to end. Can we have more description towards this clause   | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 60        | 2.<br>Detailed<br>Scope of<br>Work     | 1. Phase 1 -<br>Current state<br>assessment | 45                   | <ul> <li>Vendor shall conduct thorough study of LIC's current business processes, procedures and risk registers for each of the in-scope modules.</li> <li>Vendor to assist LIC in identifying gaps to the current process based on the global standards, regulatory guidelines, and best practices to fulfill the requirements and</li> </ul> | a) Are the existing gaps been identified? If yes then how many gaps are there? B) How many priority gaps are there? C) Are there bugs which are available? What will happen to the bugs identified during GAP analysis?   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder  |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                     | Sub-<br>Section   | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query   | LIC Response   |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---|----------|--|--|--|
|           |                                    |   |          | ensuring exhaustive coverage and compliance. All such recommendation shall be backed-up with necessary supporting documents and references.  |  |  |
| 61        | 2.<br>Detailed<br>Scope of<br>Work | 2. Phase 2 -<br>Design<br>workshop &<br>system<br>configuration | 45       | The vendor will prepare Business Requirement Specification (BRS) & System Requirement Specification (SRS) based on the discussion during design workshop with LIC. The BRS document should include identification of data source along with relevant data fields. System Requirement Specification (SRS) should include mapping of data.   | Are SRS to be prepared on the missing data as well which may be impacting the functioning of the system and result in a GAP?                                   | Please be guided by the RFP                                |
| 62        | 2.<br>Detailed<br>Scope of<br>Work | 3. Phase 3 - Implementat ion planning                           | 45       | · Vendor will outline an implementation strategy and a detailed phase-wise plan with timelines and milestones for entire duration of the project (ensure that security and compliance requirements are integrated into the design and develop a plan for addressing them).  Note:  · Vendor shall budget for 10 integrations with the GRC Platform of all the applications and services that require Integration.  · Further, Vendor should budget for 50 custom reports and 50 dashboards | a) Why are the number of integrations limited to 10 ? B) Why are the customization of reports limited to 50 ? What if the numbers exceed the specified limit ? | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                            | Sub-<br>Section                                       | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query   | LIC Response   |
|-----------|---|---|----------|--|--|--|
| 63        | 2.<br>Detailed<br>Scope of<br>Work        | 6. Phase 6 -<br>Training and<br>knowledge<br>transfer | 46       | · The Vendor will be responsible to conduct adequate number of training sessions in consultation with LIC covering sufficient number of employees to ensure that appropriate skills are developed in the areas of system administration, implementation, use/ operations, management, database management, error handling / troubleshooting, etc. of the GRC solution. | a) How many trainings are expected by the department ? B) Will trainings be an ongoing process ?                                     | Please be guided by the RFP Refer Section H: Scope of services, sub service: Detailed scope of work  Phase 6 - Training and knowledge transfer |
| 64        | Section G: Payment Terms & Condition s    | Annual Maintenance Support & Annual Technical Support | 57       | 100% at the start of the support year  | How are the calculation done as per SLA ?  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder   |
| 65        | 7.<br>Software<br>Maintena<br>nce         | -   | 50       | Modifications include minor<br>changes, bug fixes, error<br>resolutions and minor<br>enhancements that are incidental<br>to proper and complete working of<br>the Application.   | How are minor enhancements defined ?   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder   |
| 66        | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | -   | 63       | The Bidder should be a registered legal entity in India.   | Please allow Vendors who have office outside India to bid.  Local partner can be mandatory in such cases who is registered in India. | Please adhere to RFP clause.   |
| 67        | Annexure<br>C:                            | -   | 63       | The Bidder should hold a valid GST registration and PAN Card.  | Please allow Vendors who have office outside India to bid.   | Please adhere to RFP clause.   |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                            | Sub-<br>Section | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query  | LIC Response                 |
|-----------|---|-----------------|----------|---|---|------------------------------|
|           | Eligibility<br>Criteria                   |                 |          |   | Local partner can be mandatory in such cases who is registered in India and should have GST certificate.  |                              |
| 68        | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | -               | 63       | The Bidder should have an average annual turnover of Rs 10 crores in previous three financial years (2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23).   | Please exempt MSME from Turnover criteria as per GOI guidelines (circular enclosed).  Or  The Bidder and OEM should have an average annual turnover of Rs 10 crores in previous three financial years (2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23).   | Please adhere to RFP clause. |
| 69        | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | -               | 63       | The proposed solution should be rated either in the leadership or strong performer category in the GRC segment of Forrester.  | As a policy the OEM doesn't participate in the same hence request exemption under the clause.   | Please adhere to RFP clause. |
| 70        | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | -               | 63       | The Bidder should have minimum of 3 years of experience in implementing any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at organizations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector. | Please exempt MSME from Performance criteria as per GOI guidelines (circular enclosed).  Or  The Bidder and OEM should have minimum of 3 years of experience in implementing any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at organizations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector in India and Outside India. | Please adhere to RFP clause. |
| 71        | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | -               | 63       | The Bidder during the last 5 years from the date of this RFP should have supplied/implemented/supported deployment of any of the modules as mentioned in RFP                    | Please exempt MSME from Performance criteria as per GOI guidelines (circular enclosed).  Or   | Please adhere to RFP clause. |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                            | Sub-<br>Section | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query   | LIC Response   |
|-----------|---|-----------------|----------|---|--|--|
|           |   |                 |          | scope at minimum 3 organizations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector. Out of which at least one should be from Life Insurance company.   | The Bidder and OEM should have minimum of 3 years of experience in implementing any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at organizations in PSU / Government / Private / BFSI Sector in India and Outside India.  |  |
| 72        | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | -               | 63       | Bidder should have at least 5 personnel on their payroll who have relevant experience in designing and setting up of GRC solution. Proposed Project Manager for LIC's GRC project should have experience in designing and setting up of any of the modules as mentioned in the RFP in at least one BFSI sector organization in India. | Request you to kindly allow the same for Bidder / OEM as under:  Bidder and OEM should have at least 5 personnel on their payroll who have relevant experience in designing and setting up of GRC solution. Proposed Project Manager for LIC's GRC project should have experience in designing and setting up of any of the modules as mentioned in the RFP in at least one BFSI sector organization in India. | Please adhere to RFP clause.                               |
| 73        | 3.<br>Technical<br>Bid                    | -               | 20       | LIC will be responsible to provide all the standardized hardware / operating system / databases required for GRC solution implementation.   | Do we need to provide the Infra required for On-Premise deployment of GRC solution at LIC in our Technical bid, please confirm.  | No, it will be provided by LIC                             |
| 74        | Section E:<br>Scope of<br>Services        | -               | 45       | 1. Brief Scope of Work As part of this RFP, LIC intends to implement a GRC solution with following modules: i. Third- Party Risk Management ii. Information Technology - Governance, Risk & Management  | We request that the SOW points be added to the RFP. We are enclosing feature set of the same for your perusal and necessary action.  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                            | Sub-<br>Section              | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query   | LIC Response                                     |
|-----------|---|------------------------------|----------|---|--|--|
|           |   |                              |          | iii. Audit<br>iv. Compliance  |  |  |
| 75        | Section<br>E:Scope<br>of<br>Services      | 1. Brief<br>Scope of<br>Work | 45       | Kindly note that the GRC solution should have the capabilities to make any future additions i.e. ESG or any other GRC related modules (which are not covered as part of current scope of work)  | Would these additions to scope be licensed separately or should be included in the GRC license procured for the initial scope?   | Yes, this will be considered as additional scope |
| 76        | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN 6                         | 63       | The proposed solution should be rated either in the leadership or strong performer category in the GRC segment of Forrester. For Make in India OEM, this clause will not be applicable.   | All OEMs do not participate actively in the review process of research & rating organisations including Forrester.  We request you to kindly omit the mentioned requirement, since the factors governing the outcome may not be related to the efficacy/performance of the system offered under bidders response | Please adhere to RFP clause.                     |
| 77        | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN 7                         | 63       | The Bidder should have minimum of 3 years of experience in implementing any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at organizations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector.   | Request the committee to kindly consider the experience of the OEM as part of the eligibility criteria.  The RFP already requests a declaration about back-to-back support from respective OEM proposed as part of their bid.  | Please adhere to RFP clause.                     |
| 78        | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN 8                         | 63       | The Bidder during the last 5 years from the date of this RFP should have supplied/ implemented / supported deployment of any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at minimum 3 organisations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector. Out of which at least one should be from Life Insurance company. | Request the committee to kindly consider the experience of the OEM as part of the eligibility criteria.  The RFP already requests a declaration about back-to-back support from respective OEM proposed as part of their bid.  | Please adhere to RFP clause.                     |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                                       | Sub-<br>Section | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query  | LIC Response  |
|-----------|--|-----------------|----------|---|---|---|
| 79        | Annexure<br>D:<br>Technical<br>Scoring               | SN 1            | 65       | Technical Evaluation Criteria –<br>Parameters   | Request the committee to kindly consider the experience of the OEM as part of the Technical Evaluation Criteria. The RFP already requests a declaration about back-to-back support from respective OEM proposed as part of the bid. | Please adhere to RFP clause.  |
| 80        | Annexure<br>D:<br>Technical<br>Scoring               | Note            | 66       | Evidence to be submitted for each criteria should be part of the same response document. Proper naming and indexing should be done to avoid any ambiguity.                      | Kindly clarify on the appropriate evidence for the technical specifications   | Please be guided by the RFP  Kindly refer the Annexure D: Technical Scoring for further details.  Evidence to be submitted for each criteria should be part of the same response document. Proper naming and indexing should be done to avoid any ambiguity in Annexure F |
| 81        | Phase 6 -<br>Training<br>&<br>Knowledg<br>e Transfer | -               | 46       | On implementation, vendor to conduct separate trainings for each module mentioned in scope for Senior Management, Core users and Business usersas mentioned in below table:     | Kindly Clarify the total number of users for Senior Management.   | Please be guided by the RFP   |
| 82        | Section<br>G:<br>Payment<br>Terms &<br>Condition     | SN 1            | 57       | I. GRC Platform: 100% on installation in UAT and sharing of license certificate with End-User License Agreement (EULA) licenses II. GRC Modules: · 70% upon installation in UAT | Request the committee to kindly consider 100% payment on installation for GRC Modules.  | Please be guided by the RFP   |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section | Sub-<br>Section                      | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query   | LIC Response  |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------|---|--|---|
|           |                |                                      |          | · 20% upon UAT sign-off<br>· 10% upon Go-Live   |  |   |
| 83        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 69       | On-boarding Due- Diligence Does the solution could request a new third party? (15)  | Need more details  | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 84        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 69       | On-boarding Due- Diligence Does the solution manage third party due diligence? (16)   | Need more details  | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 85        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 69       | Third-Party Continuous Monitoring Does the system automatically send the survey out based on a pre-defined schedule? (32)     | Give details of automatic survey                                 | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 86        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 70       | Third-Party Continuous Monitoring Does the solution provide the ability to assess cyber security risk for third parties? (36) | Need more details. How does the Cyber security be assessed here? | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 87        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 74       | Risk Assessment  Does the solution integrate 3rd party financial risk scores by third party? (66)                             | Question is not clear, Need clarity on the same.                 | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 88        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 76       | Issue Management Does the system allow third parties to participate in the entire mitigation plan? (69)                       | Need more clarification, whether direct access to third party.   | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section | Sub-<br>Section                      | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query   | LIC Response  |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------|---|--|---|
| 89        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 76       | IT Security Policy Program Management Does the system provides discreet capabilities to capture and track regulatory changes? (111)   | Whether system has to capture automatically? Prebid    | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 90        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 77       | IT Controls Assurance Does the system supports bidirectional policy and control/sub control mapping to all relevant regulations and mandates? (138)                                       | Need more clarification regarding bidirectional policy | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 91        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 79       | IT Controls Assurance Does the system automatically generates findings for incorrect answers and allows the management of findings through remediation tasks or exception requests? (143) | Need more clarity on automation                        | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 92        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 79       | Information Securit Management System (ISMS) Does the system provides the ability to define and report the full scope of the Information security management system (ISMS)? (156)         | Need more clarification                                | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 93        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 80       | IT Risk Management Does the system supports risk assessment processes and workflow? (183)   | Need more clarity- We have only workflow               | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 94        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 74       | IT Risk Management Whether it Provides an out of the box risk register in order to capture currently maintained and tracked   | Need more clarity on out of box risk register          | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling   |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section | Sub-<br>Section                      | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query   | LIC Response  |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------|---|--|---|
|           |                |                                      |          | risks as well as ability to configure the application via no coding to accommodate our requirements? (189)  |  | seamless experience for the users of LIC.   |
| 95        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 76       | Migration/Exit from the tool Does the solution has the capability to move from one tool to another, to allow that migration will full backup of data along with proper data integrity? (202)    | Needs more clarity on Migration tool?  | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 96        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 82       | Audit Planning Does the Solution have an Audit Advisor? If yes, please describe its capability. (237)   | Needs clarification about audit advisor  | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 97        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 83       | Audit Resources Time Management Does the tool track the non-audit activity in addition to audit activity? (248)   | Need more clarification  | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 98        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 86       | Reporting & Follow-up The system has an out-of-the-box structure to manage the lifecycle of remediation plans, and it should also support an adaptable remediation structure and process. (302) | Need more clarification on out of box  | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 99        | Section-H      | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 77       | Information Security Management System (ISMS) Does the solution include ISO 27001 specific Assessment content? (157)  | Please clarify whether do you expect us to give the checklist for ISO 27001 or looking for facility in the application to monitor the process? | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                            | Sub-<br>Section                      | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause  | Bidder Query   | LIC Response  |
|-----------|---|--------------------------------------|----------|---|--|---|
| 100       | Section-H                                 | Annexure F - Technical Specification | 21       | Compliance Assessment Does the solution Support Material Changes to Controls? (349)   | Need more clarification on material changes.   | GRC solution under discussion should have industry best practices and features enabling seamless experience for the users of LIC. |
| 101       | Section<br>E:Scope<br>of<br>Services      | 1. Brief<br>Scope of<br>Work         | 45       | Kindly note that the GRC solution should have the capabilities to make any future additions i.e. ESG or any other GRC related modules (which are not covered as part of current scope of work)                                  | Would these additions to scope be licensed separately or should be included in the GRC license procured for the initial scope?   | Yes, this will be considered as additional scope  |
| 102       | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN 6                                 | 63       | The proposed solution should be rated either in the leadership or strong performer category in the GRC segment of Forrester. For Make in India OEM, this clause will not be applicable.   | All OEMs do not participate actively in the review process of research & rating organisations including Forrester.  We request you to kindly omit the mentioned requirement, since the factors governing the outcome may not be related to the efficacy/performance of the system offered under bidders response | Please adhere to RFP clause.  |
| 103       | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN 7                                 | 63       | The Bidder should have minimum of 3 years of experience in implementing any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at organizations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector.   | Request the committee to kindly consider the experience of the OEM as part of the eligibility criteria.  The RFP already requests a declaration about back-to-back support from respective OEM proposed as part of their bid.  | Please adhere to RFP clause.  |
| 104       | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN 8                                 | 63       | The Bidder during the last 5 years from the date of this RFP should have supplied/implemented/supported deployment of any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at minimum 3 organisations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI | Request the committee to kindly consider the experience of the OEM as part of the eligibility criteria.  The RFP already requests a declaration about back-to-back support from respective OEM proposed as part of their bid.  | Please adhere to RFP clause.  |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                         | Sub-<br>Section                                | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query  | LIC Response   |
|-----------|--|--|----------|--|---|--|
|           |  |  |          | Sector. Out of which at least one should be from Life Insurance company.   |   |  |
| 105       | Annexure<br>D:<br>Technical<br>Scoring | SN 1   | 65       | Technical Evaluation Criteria –<br>Parameters  | Request the committee to kindly consider the experience of the OEM as part of the Technical Evaluation Criteria. The RFP already requests a declaration about back-to-back support from respective OEM proposed as part of the bid. | Please adhere to RFP clause.   |
| 106       | Annexure<br>D:<br>Technical<br>Scoring | Note   | 66       | Evidence to be submitted for each criteria should be part of the same response document. Proper naming and indexing should be done to avoid any ambiguity. | Kindly clarify on the appropriate evidence for the technical specifications   | Please be guided by the RFP  Kindly refer the Annexure D: Technical Scoring for further details.  Evidence to be submitted for each criterion should be part of the same response document. Proper naming and indexing should be done to avoid any ambiguity in Annexure F |
| 107       | Annexure F: Technical Specificat ion   | Module 1:<br>Third-Party<br>Risk<br>Management | -        | Third-Party Information<br>Management. General   | How many vendors do you want to manage through the solution? What are the type of vendors you have collaboration with?  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder   |
| 108       | Annexure F: Technical Specificat ion   | Module 1:<br>Third-Party<br>Risk<br>Management | -        | Third-Party Information Management. Pt. 3 Does your system supports linking of Third Parties to regulations?   | Are you subscribing to any Intelligence/real-<br>time feeds for regulatory alerts and news<br>alerts for vendors? Which ones?   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder   |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                       | Sub-<br>Section   | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query   | LIC Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|---|----------|--|--|--|
| 109       | Annexure F: Technical Specificat ion | Module 2:<br>Information<br>Technology –<br>Governance,<br>Risk &<br>Controls | -        | IT Security Policy Program Management. Pt. 10 Does the system supports easy addition of new regulations and requirements and has interfaces to feeds that provide for and update regulations, legislation and self- regulating bodies? | What is current existing app landscape and tools being used by LIC for the below  IT security, Policy and infrastructure management Security Intelligence Threats, asset and vulnerability scanners CMDB | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder   |
| 110       | Annexure F: Technical Specificat ion | Module 2:<br>Information<br>Technology –<br>Governance,<br>Risk &<br>Controls | -        | IT Security Policy Program Management. Pt. 5 Does the system includes predefined mappings to industry best practices, framework, regulations and standards?  | Please provide more details to this requirement. Are there any specific frameworks, regulations and standards that LIC is looking for?   | Successful bidder shall collate<br>this information during Phase 1<br>(Current state assessment) of<br>the project |
| 111       | Annexure F: Technical Specificat ion | Module 4:<br>Compliance   | -        | General  | What are the key compliance challenges in LIC that you are looking to solve?   | Successful bidder shall collate<br>this information during Phase 1<br>(Current state assessment) of<br>the project |
| 112       | Annexure F: Technical Specificat ion | Module 4:<br>Compliance   | -        | General  | How is compliance management data managed today? Is there a central system? Can you tell us a bit about the key compliance management process, workflows and stages in your company?                     | Successful bidder shall collate this information during Phase 1 (Current state assessment) of the project          |
| 113       | Section<br>E:Scope<br>of<br>Services | 1. Brief<br>Scope of<br>Work  | 45       | Kindly note that the GRC solution should have the capabilities to make any future additions i.e. ESG or any other GRC related modules (which are not covered as part of current scope of work)   | Would these additions to scope be licensed separately or should be included in the the GRC license procured for the initial scope?   | Yes, this will be considered as additional scope   |
| 114       | Annexure<br>C:                       | SN 6  | 63       | The proposed solution should be rated either in the leadership or  | All OEMs do not participate actively in the review process of research & rating  | Please be guided by the RFP  |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                            | Sub-<br>Section | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query  | LIC Response  |
|-----------|---|-----------------|----------|--|---|---|
|           | Eligibility<br>Criteria                   |                 |          | strong performer category in the GRC segment of Forrester. For Make in India OEM, this clause will not be applicable.  | organisations including Forrester. We request you to kindly omit the mentioned requirement, since the factors governing the outcome may not be related to the efficacy/performance of the system offered under bidders response     |   |
| 115       | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN 7            | 63       | The Bidder should have minimum of 3 years of experience in implementing any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at organizations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector.  | Request the committee to kindly consider the experience of the OEM as part of the eligibility criteria.  The RFP already requests a declaration about back-to-back support from respective OEM proposed as part of their bid.       | Please be guided by the RFP   |
| 116       | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN 8            | 63       | The Bidder during the last 5 years from the date of this RFP should have supplied/implemented/supported deployment of any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at minimum 3 organisations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector. Out of which at least one should be from Life Insurance company. | Request the committee to kindly consider the experience of the OEM as part of the eligibility criteria.  The RFP already requests a declaration about back-to-back support from respective OEM proposed as part of their bid.       | Please be guided by the RFP   |
| 117       | Annexure<br>D:<br>Technical<br>Scoring    | SN 1            | 65       | Technical Evaluation Criteria –<br>Parameters  | Request the committee to kindly consider the experience of the OEM as part of the Technical Evaluation Criteria. The RFP already requests a declaration about back-to-back support from respective OEM proposed as part of the bid. | Please be guided by the RFP   |
| 118       | Annexure<br>D:<br>Technical<br>Scoring    | Note            | 66       | Evidence to be submitted for each criteria should be part of the same response document. Proper  | Kindly clarify on the appropriate evidence for the technical specifications   | Please be guided by the RFP  Kindly refer the Annexure D: Technical Scoring for further |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                            | Sub-<br>Section              | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query   | LIC Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
|           |   |                              |          | naming and indexing should be done to avoid any ambiguity.   |  | details.  Evidence to be submitted for each criteria should be part of the same response document.  Proper naming and indexing |
|           |   |                              |          |  |  | should be done to avoid any ambiguity in Annexure F  |
| 119       | Section<br>E:Scope<br>of<br>Services      | Note                         | 45       | Vendor has to provide demo of the system configured in line with agreed BRS and SRS  | The demo which is supposed to be provided by the vendor will it be done only to validate the configuration or a dry run with some sample is expected in the demo   | Please be guided by the RFP  |
| 120       | Section<br>E:Scope<br>of<br>Services      | Note                         | 45       | Vendor shall budget for 10 integrations with the GRC Platform of all the applications and services that require Integration.   | Can you please specify the are there any preferred integration frameworks which are to be used to comply to this request and what volume will the data/information will be catered by those discrete sources | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder   |
| 121       | Section<br>E:Scope<br>of<br>Services      | Note                         | 45       | Further, Vendor should budget for 50 custom reports and 50 dashboards.   | Does these 50 reports include normal out of the box reports/dashboards from a service provider   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder   |
| 122       | Section<br>E:Scope<br>of<br>Services      | 1. Brief<br>Scope of<br>Work | 45       | Kindly note that the GRC solution should have the capabilities to make any future additions i.e. ESG or any other GRC related modules (which are not covered as part of current scope of work) | Would these additions to scope be licensed separately or should be included in the the GRC license procured for the initial scope?   | Yes, this will be considered as additional scope   |
| 123       | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN 6                         | 63       | The proposed solution should be rated either in the leadership or strong performer category in the GRC segment of Forrester.   | All OEMs do not participate actively in the review process of research & rating organisations including Forrester.  We request you to kindly omit the mentioned  | Please adhere to RFP clause.   |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                            | Sub-<br>Section | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query  | LIC Response                 |
|-----------|---|-----------------|----------|--|---|------------------------------|
|           |   |                 |          | For Make in India OEM, this clause will not be applicable.   | requirement, since the factors governing the outcome may not be related to the efficacy/performance of the system offered under bidders response  |                              |
| 124       | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN1             | 63       | The Bidder should be a registered legal entity in India. Copy of the Certificate of Incorporation issued by Registrar of Companies and full address of the registered office.  | We have two entities registered in India. Can we consider the Accounts for both companies combined for this?  | Please adhere to RFP clause. |
| 125       | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN4             | 63       | The Bidder should have an average annual turnover of Rs 10 crores in previous three financial years (2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23).  | We are MSME Enterprise registed in India. Request committee to kindly reduce the Turn over 50% from proposed Annual Turnover for MSME Enterprises.  | Please adhere to RFP clause. |
| 126       | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN 7            | 63       | The Bidder should have minimum of 3 years of experience in implementing any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at organizations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector.  | Request the committee to kindly consider the experience of the OEM as part of the eligibility criteria.  The RFP already requests a declaration about back-to-back support from respective OEM proposed as part of their bid. | Please adhere to RFP clause. |
| 127       | Annexure<br>C:<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria | SN 8            | 63       | The Bidder during the last 5 years from the date of this RFP should have supplied/implemented/supported deployment of any of the modules as mentioned in RFP scope at minimum 3 organisations in PSU /Government /Private /BFSI Sector. Out of which at least one should be from Life Insurance company. | Request the committee to kindly consider the experience of the OEM as part of the eligibility criteria.  The RFP already requests a declaration about back-to-back support from respective OEM proposed as part of their bid. | Please adhere to RFP clause. |
| 128       | Annexure<br>D:                            | SN 1            | 65       | Technical Evaluation Criteria –<br>Parameters  | Request the committee to kindly consider the experience of the OEM as part of the   | Please adhere to RFP clause. |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section                         | Sub-<br>Section              | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause   | Bidder Query   | LIC Response  |
|-----------|--|------------------------------|----------|--|--|---|
|           | Technical<br>Scoring                   |                              |          |  | Technical Evaluation Criteria. The RFP already requests a declaration about back-to-back support from respective OEM proposed as part of the bid.                            |   |
| 129       | Annexure<br>D:<br>Technical<br>Scoring | Note                         | 66       | Evidence to be submitted for each criteria should be part of the same response document. Proper naming and indexing should be done to avoid any ambiguity. | Kindly clarify on the appropriate evidence for the technical specifications  | Please be guided by the RFP  Kindly refer the Annexure D: Technical Scoring for further details.  Evidence to be submitted for each criteria should be part of the same response document. Proper naming and indexing should be done to avoid any ambiguity in Annexure F |
| 130       | Section<br>E:Scope<br>of<br>Services   | SN 1                         | 13       | -  | -  | NA  |
| 131       | Section-A                              | 3. Activity<br>Schedule      | 10       | -  | We request you to extend the date for bid submission till 3rd June, 2024 considering the broad scope of work and the detailing required in preparing and submitting the RFP. | Please adhere to RFP clause.  |
| 132       | Section-E                              | 1. Brief<br>scope of<br>work | 45       | -  | Request to provide more information about the expected future modules such as ESG and their potential integration into the GRC solution?                                     | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder  |
| 133       | Section-E                              | 1. Brief<br>scope of<br>work | 45       | -  | Which ERM tool LIC is using currently?   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder  |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section | Sub-<br>Section  | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause | Bidder Query   | LIC Response   |
|-----------|----------------|--|----------|------------|--|--|
| 134       | Section-E      | 1. Brief<br>scope of<br>work                                   | 45       | -          | Request you to share the details of the existing Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) tool   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder   |
| 135       | Section-E      | 1. Brief<br>scope of<br>work                                   | 45       | -          | Elaborate on the desired functionalities for integrating the GRC solution with LIC's existing Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) tool to produce a combined dashboard?               | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder   |
| 136       | Section-E      | 1. Brief<br>scope of<br>work                                   | 45       | -          | Are there any specific data points or metrics that should be included in this integrated dashboard?  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder   |
| 137       | Section-E      | 1. Brief<br>scope of<br>work                                   | 45       | -          | Are there any specific compliance standards or regulatory frameworks that the proposed GRC solution must adhere to?  | Please be guided by the RFP  |
| 138       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 1 - Current state assessment) | 45       | -          | Could you provide more information about the existing business processes, procedures, and risk registers that the vendor is expected to study during the current state assessment? | Successful bidder shall collate<br>this information during Phase 1<br>(Current state assessment) of<br>the project |
| 139       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 1 - Current state assessment) | 45       | -          | Are there any specific areas or domains within each module that require particular focus during the assessment?  | Successful bidder shall collate<br>this information during Phase 1<br>(Current state assessment) of<br>the project |
| 140       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 1 - Current state assessment) | 45       | -          | Request you please confirm whether a GRC solution requires adherence to any particular international standards, regulatory mandates, or industry best practice frameworks?"        | Please be guided by the RFP  |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section | Sub-<br>Section  | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause | Bidder Query   | LIC Response   |
|-----------|----------------|--|----------|------------|--|--|
| 141       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 1 - Current state assessment) | 45       | -          | Request you to please clarify that when referring to the "tool" mentioned here, it pertains to the ERM (Enterprise Risk Management) tool currently being used by LIC   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 142       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 1 - Current state assessment) | 45       | -          | Request you to please clarify if the bidder needs to conduct a gap assessment on the current ERM tool? If so, kindly specify whether the identified gaps in the existing ERM should be rectified within the tools. | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 143       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 1 - Current state assessment) | 45       | -          | Please confirm whether the bidder should own the GRC solution or if they can engage a system integrator.   | Please be guided by the RFP                                |
| 144       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 1 - Current state assessment) | 46       | -          | We clarify that our deliverables and reports will be gap assessment reports with recommendations. We will not certify or provide an opinion on an assurance basis.   | Please be guided by the RFP                                |
| 145       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 1 - Current state assessment) | 47       | -          | We assume that the deliverables will not be shared with any third party or regulator.  | Please be guided by the RFP                                |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section | Sub-<br>Section   | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause | Bidder Query  | LIC Response   |
|-----------|----------------|---|----------|------------|---|--|
| 146       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 1 - Current state assessment)                | 45       | -          | We have initiated internal risk and legal review process on this RFP. We request you to consider queries as and when our risk and legal team informs us about the same. | Please refer to activity schedules for the respective timlienes. |
| 147       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 2 - Design workshop & system configuration ) | 45       | -          | Are there any specific requirements or functionalities that should be prioritized during the system configuration phase?  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder       |
| 148       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 3 - Implementat ion planning)                | 45       | -          | What specific security and compliance requirements should be integrated into the implementation strategy?   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder       |
| 149       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 3 - Implementat ion planning)                | 45       | -          | Is there any other reporting tool that is being used in LIC currently?  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder       |
| 150       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 3 - Implementat ion planning)                | 45       | -          | Could you clarify the frequency of weekly status reports expected from the vendor during the implementation phase?  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder       |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section | Sub-<br>Section   | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause | Bidder Query  | LIC Response   |
|-----------|----------------|---|----------|------------|---|--|
| 151       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 3 - Implementat ion planning)                    | 45       | -          | What all activities will be covered in Audit and Compliance module like data library/ Assessment etc.?                              | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 152       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 3 - Implementat ion planning)                    | 45       | -          | What all activities will be covered in Third Party Risk Management and Information Technology?                                      | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 153       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 4 – Implementat ion and User acceptance testing) | 46       | -          | Could you provide more details about the criteria for evaluating the success of the UAT scenarios?                                  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 154       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 4 – Implementat ion and User acceptance testing) | 46       | -          | Clarification required on discrepancies identified during UAT to be prioritized and addressed by the vendor.                        | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 155       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 4 –  | 46       | -          | How will the vendor ensure timely resolution of production defects and operational issues encountered during day-to-day activities? | Please be guided by the RFP                                |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section | Sub-<br>Section | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause | Bidder Query                                 | LIC Response                |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|----------|------------|--|-----------------------------|
|           |                | Implementat     |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | ion and User    |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | acceptance      |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | testing)        |          |            |  |                             |
| 156       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed     | 46       | -          | Should vendor perform UAT along with LIC     | Please be guided by the RFP |
|           |                | scope of        |          |            | users?                                       |                             |
|           |                | work (Phase     |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | 4 –             |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | Implementat     |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | ion and User    |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | acceptance      |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | testing)        |          |            |  |                             |
| 157       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed     | 46       | -          | UAT to be signed off by client               | Please be guided by the RFP |
|           |                | scope of        |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | work (Phase     |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | 4 –             |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | Implementat     |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | ion and User    |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | acceptance      |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | testing)        |          |            |  |                             |
| 158       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed     | 46       | -          | Should vendor involve to move the package or | Please be guided by the RFP |
|           |                | scope of        |          |            | deploy the package from UAT to Production?   |                             |
|           |                | work (Phase     |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | 5 -             |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | Movement        |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | to              |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | production      |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | environment     |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | (Deployment     |          |            |  |                             |
|           |                | ))              |          |            |  |                             |
| 159       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed     | 46       | -          | No certificate to be issued to trainees      | Please be guided by the RFP |
|           |                | scope of        |          |            |  |                             |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section | Sub-<br>Section  | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause | Bidder Query   | LIC Response   |
|-----------|----------------|--|----------|------------|--|--|
|           |                | work (Phase<br>6 - Training<br>and<br>knowledge<br>transfer)           |          |            |  |  |
| 160       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 6 - Training and knowledge transfer)  | 46       | -          | Training to be given in train the trainer mode   | Please be guided by the RFP                                |
| 161       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 6 - Training and knowledge transfer)  | 46       | -          | Could you provide clarity on the expectations regarding back-to-back support from OEMs proposed as part of our bid? What level of support is considered sufficient to meet this criterion? | Please be guided by the RFP                                |
| 162       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed scope of work (Phase 6 - Training and knowledge transfer)  | 46       | -          | Logistical arrangements for training to be client responsibility   | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 163       | Section-E      | 2. Detailed<br>scope of<br>work (Phase<br>7 –Post Go-<br>Live support) | 46       | -          | What are the key performance indicators (KPIs) or metrics that will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of post-implementation support?  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder |

| S.<br>No. | RFP<br>Section | Sub-<br>Section                           | Pg<br>No | RFP Clause | Bidder Query  | LIC Response  |
|-----------|----------------|---|----------|------------|---|---|
| 164       | Section-E      | 7. Software<br>Maintenance                | 50       | -          | Could you provide more details on the Recovery Time Objective (RTO) and Recovery Point Objective (RPO) decided by LIC, including any specific benchmarks or targets we should aim to meet?  | Please be guided by the RFP   |
| 165       | Section-F      | 1. Delivery &<br>Installation<br>Schedule | 51       | -          | Could you please specify the penalty clause mentioned in point (d) above for delayed deliveries/installations? What is the percentage of penalty applicable, and how will it be calculated? | Please be guided by the RFP  Refer Section-E, Sub-section 5: Project completion and timelines |
| 166       | Annexure<br>C  | Eligibility<br>Criteria                   | 63       | -          | Could you please provide the current number of vendors and indicate how many additional vendors you plan to onboard in the future?  | This information will be shared with the Successful Bidder                                    |
| 167       | Annexure<br>C  | Eligibility<br>Criteria                   | 63       | -          | Request licensing of software to be contracted seperately   | Please be guided by the RFP   |
| 168       | Annexure<br>C  | Eligibility<br>Criteria                   | 63       | -          | Services contract by services to be provided and contracted seperately  | Please be guided by the RFP   |

**Executive Director (ERM)**